



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/736,009 | 12/13/2000 | Hiroaki Hamano | HAL 135 | 5643 |

7590 05/05/2004

David T. Cunningham, Esq.
Hitachi America, Ltd.
50 Prospect Avenue
Tarrytown, NY 10591

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

SALCE, JASON P

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2611

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/736,009

Applicant(s)

HAMANO ET AL.

Examiner

Jason P Salce

Art Unit

2611

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jason P Salce.

(3) David Cunningham.

(2) Michael Straub.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1 of proposed ammendment.


Identification of prior art discussed: Goldstein of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative explained the invention and how the proposed ammended claims read over the prior. Examiner provided his feedback and stated that further consideration would be taken upon receipt of the ammendment to the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required